

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/767,346	01/23/2001	Pamela L. Plouhar	26502-67310	3293	
7	590 07/02/2002				
BARNES & THORNBURG 1313 Merchants Bank Building 11 South Meridian Street			EXAMINER ·		
			PREBILIC, PAUL B		
Indianapolis, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738	3738	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,346

Art Unit: 3738

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not directed to the presently claimed invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "A METHOD OF RECONSTRUCTING A JOINT BETWEEN TWO BONES".

With respect to the information disclosure statement filed April 27, 2001, the German language article has not been considered because there is no translation thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 49-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stone (US 5,782,915) in view of Whitson et al (US 5,968,096). Stone discloses removing up to the entire joint down to the cancellous bleeding bone, but fails to disclose the submucosa of 1 to 12 mm thick as claimed; see the entire document, especially column 6, lines 6-16. Whitson, however, teaches that an 8-layer submucosa graft has been known to the art and that it can be used in any grafting procedure; see the whole document, especially Example 2. It is asserted that the 8-layer submucosa

Application/Control Number: 09/767,346

Art Unit: 3738

graft would be approximately or about 1 mm in thickness. Hence, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to use the submucosa graft of Whitson in the method of Stone for the same reasons that Whitson desires this tissue over other prior art tissue (i.e. for the enhanced mechanical and remodeling properties).

With regard to claims 50-52, if the entire joint is removed, then all the types of cartilage would inherently removed along therewith. For this reason, the claims are met by the method of Stone in this regard.

With regard to claim 54, the fibrin clot is the barrier layer as claimed.

Claims 49-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stone (US 5,881,733) in view of Badylak et al (US 5,281,422). Stone teaches using other tissues to repair a joint as claimed where such other tissues have thicknesses of 1 to 2 cm (10 to 20 mm); see whole document, especially the abstract and column 2, lines 10-11. Stone does not disclose the use of submucosa as claimed. However, Badylak et al teaches that it was known to make multiplayer submucosa grafts to repair bones. Hence, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to use submucosa to repair the joint of Stone and to make it 10 mm in thickness for the same reasons that Badylak desires using the same for bone repair.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be

Application/Control Number: 09/767,346

Art Unit: 3738

applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Prebilic whose telephone number is (703) 308-2905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for this Technology Center is (703) 872-9301.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Paul Prébilic Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3738